

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

————— ♦ —————
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, *et al.*,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,
Respondent.

————— ♦ —————
ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

————— ♦ —————
BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE ALASKA INTER-TRIBAL
COUNCIL, COUNCIL OF ATHABASCAN TRIBAL
GOVERNMENTS, AND RESISTING ENVIRONMENTAL
DESTRUCTION ON INDIGENOUS LANDS
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

————— ♦ —————
Frances M. Raskin
TRUSTEES FOR ALASKA
1026 W. 4th Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
(907) 276-4244

Counsel for Amici Curiae

Interests of Amici Curiae

The Alaska Inter-Tribal Council (AITC) is a statewide, tribally governed, non-profit organization that advocates on behalf of tribal governments throughout the state.

The Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments (CATG) is a consortium of the ten Tribes in the Yukon Flats region of Alaska, nine of which are federally recognized Tribes. CATG is comprised of nine Gwich'in Tribes and one Koyukon Athabascan Tribe that occupy lands in the Yukon Flats region in the northeastern interior of Alaska.

Resisting Environmental Destruction on Indigenous Lands (REDOIL), a project of the Indigenous Environmental Network, consists of Alaska Natives of the Inupiat, Yupik, Aleut, Tlingit, Gwich'in, Eyak, and Denaiana Athabascan Tribes.

AITC, CATG, and REDOIL are greatly concerned about the impacts of global warming on their members. Living in the Arctic and sub-Arctic regions of Alaska, their members every day experience the effects of global warming, including thinning sea ice, increased coastal erosion, melting permafrost, and changes in plant and animal distributions.

Global warming is depleting the subsistence resources of the members of AITC, CATG, and REDOIL and threatening their health and safety. As a result, the members of AITC, CATG, and REDOIL have an interest in ensuring that the federal government has the authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions.

Authority for Filing Amicus Brief

Authority to file this brief is by consent under Supreme Court Rule 37. All parties to this case have consented to the filing of this brief.

Corporate Disclosure Statement

The *amici curiae*, AITC, CATG, and REDOIL, are all nonprofit corporations or organizations. None of them has a parent corporation, and no publicly held company has a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in any of these entities.

Table of Contents

	Page
Interests of Amici Curiae.....	i
Authority for Filing Amicus Brief.....	ii
Corporate Disclosure Statement	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Table of Authorities.....	v
Summary of Argument	1
I. Introduction	2
II. Emissions of Greenhouse Gases from Human Activities are Changing the Earth’s Climate at an Unprecedented Rate.....	4
III. Climate Change Threatens the Physical and Cultural Survival of Alaska Natives.....	10
A. Climate Change Threatens the Existence of Alaska Native Communities and Culture.....	12
1. Thinning and Receding Sea Ice Reduces Subsistence Resources on Arctic Coasts	13
2. Melting Permafrost Diminishes Subsistence Resources	19

3.	Warming Threatens Caribou, a Key Food Source	21
B.	Climate Change is Endangering the Health and Safety of Alaska Natives	22
IV.	Conclusion	25

Table of Authorities

	Page(s)	
Cases		
<i>Native Village of Quinhagak v. Lujan</i> , 35 F.3d 388 (9th Cir. 1994).....	13	
Statutes, Regulations & Court Rules		
Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act		
16 U.S.C. § 3111 <i>et seq.</i>	10, 13	
Clean Air Act		
§ 202(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7521(a)(1)	3	
§ 302(g), 42 U.S.C. § 7602(g)	3	
§ 302(h), 42 U.S.C. § 7602(h)	4	
Endangered Species Act		
16 U.S.C. §1539(e).....	10	
Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act		
25 U.S.C. § 479	2	
Marine Mammal Protection Act		
16 U.S.C. § 1371(b).....	10	
Migratory Bird Treaty Act		
16 U.S.C. § 712(1).....	10	
Exec. Order No. 13175 §3(a), 65 Fed. Reg. 67249 (Nov. 6, 2000).....		2
70 Fed. Reg. 71194-01 (Nov. 25, 2005).....	2	

Executive Mem. on Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments § 9 (April 29, 2004), <https://www.denix.osd.mil/denix/Public/Native/Outreach/Memos/execmemo.html>..... 2

Other Authorities

Alaska Native Heritage Center website at <http://www.alaskanative.net/2.asp>..... 2

Bauman, Margaret, *Conference Attendees Receive an Account of Arctic Warming*, Peninsula Clarion Nov. 30, 2005 2

Burns, William C.G., *From the Harpoon to the Heat: Climate Change and the International Whaling Commission in the 21st Century* (Pacific Studies in Development, Environment, and Security 2000). 7

Chance, Norman A., *The Inupiat and Arctic Alaska: An Ethnography of Development*, Harcourt (1990). 10

Cooper, Lee W. et al., *Rapid Seasonal Sea-Ice Retreat in the Arctic Could Be Affecting Pacific Walrus Recruitment*, 32 *Aquatic Mammals* (2006). 16

Egan, Timothy, *Alaska, No Longer So Frigid, Starts to Crack, Burn and Sag*, *The New York Times*, June 16, 2002, §1 20

Finney, Bruce P., et al., *Impacts of Climatic Change and Fishing on Pacific Salmon Abundance Over the Past 300 Years*, 290 *Science* 795 (2000). 11

Gibson, Margie Ann & Schullinger, Sallie B., <i>Answers from the Ice Edge: The Consequences of Climate Change on Life in the Bering and Chukchi Seas</i> (Arctic Network & Greenpeace U.S.A. 1998), <a href="http://archive.greenpeace.org/climate/arctic/reports/
testimonies.pdf">http://archive.greenpeace.org/climate/arctic/reports/ testimonies.pdf	15
Gregory, Jonathan M. <i>et al.</i> , <i>Threatened Loss of the Greenland Ice Sheet</i> , 428 <i>Nature</i> 616 (2004).....	14
Hansen, James <i>et al.</i> , <i>Global Temperature Trends: 2005 Summation</i> , National Aeronautics and Space Agency (2005), http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/2005/	5
Hassol, Susan Joy, Arctic Council, <i>Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (2004)</i>	<i>passim</i>
Hinzman, Larry D. <i>et al.</i> , <i>Evidence and Implications of Recent Climate Change in Northern Alaska and Other Arctic Regions</i> , 72 <i>Climatic Change</i> 252 (2005)	9, 11
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, <i>Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report</i> , Contribution of Working Groups I, II, and III, 69, R. T. Robertson <i>et al.</i> (eds.) (2001).....	6
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, <i>Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis</i> , Contribution of Working Group I, Summary for Policymakers, J.T. Houghton <i>et al.</i> (eds.) (2001).....	<i>passim</i>

Karl, Thomas R. & Trenberth, Kevin E., <i>Modern Global Climate Change</i> , 302 Science 1719 (2003).....	7
Lawrence, David M. and Slater, Andrew G., <i>A Projection of Severe Near-Surface Permafrost Degradation During the 21st Century</i> , 32 Geophysical Research Letters L24401 (2005)	19, 20
Maynard, Nancy G., <i>Final Report: Native Peoples- Native Homelands Climate Change Workshop</i> (1998).....	17
Memorandum of William Ruckelshaus, EPA Administrator, <i>EPA Policy for the Administration of Environmental Programs on Indian Reservations</i> (Nov. 8, 1984).....	3
Monett, Charles et al., <i>Potential Effects of Diminished Sea Ice on Open-Water Swimming, Mortality, and Distribution of Polar Bears During Fall in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea</i> , Minerals Management Service, http://www.mms.gov/alaska/ess/Poster%20Presentations/MarineMammalConference-Dec2005.pdf	17
Motavalli, Jim, <i>Feeling the Heat: Dispatches from the Frontlines of Climate Change</i> , Routledge (2004).	18
National Assessment Synthesis Team, U.S. Global Change Res. Program, <i>Climate Change Impacts on the United States: The Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change</i> (2000)	18
National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, <i>Cumulative Environmental Effects of Oil & Gas Activities on Alaska's North Slope</i> (2003)	15

O’Hara, Doug, <i>Permafrost is Warming</i> , Anchorage Daily News, Aug. 14, 2005.	20
Overpeck, J.T. <i>et al.</i> , <i>Arctic System on Trajectory to New, Seasonally Ice-Free State</i> , 86 <i>EOS</i> 312 (2005).	14
Peter, Evon, <i>The People and the Caribou Are One, Voices from the Earth</i> (2005), http://www.sric.org/voices/2005/v6n1/caribou.html	12
Roosevelt, Margot, <i>Vanishing Alaska</i> , Time Magazine, Sept. 27, 2004.	11, 23, 24, 25
Rosen, Yereth, <i>Warming Climate Disrupts Alaska Natives’ Lives</i> , Reuters, April 16, 2004, http://www.cnr.vt.edu/lsg/intro/global%20warming%20-%20alaska.pdf	13
Smith, Orson P., & Levasseur, George, <i>Impacts of Climate Change on Transportation Infrastructure in Alaska, The Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Transportation, Part II, Regional Case Studies</i> (U.S. Dep’t of Transportation, 2001).	23
Sturm, Matthew, <i>et al.</i> , <i>Meltdown in the North, Scientific American</i> (2003).	14
<i>Threat to North’s Cultural Survival</i> , 109 <i>ECO 2</i> (Climate Action Network, Bonn, Germany) (2003), http://www.climateactionnetwork.org/eco/cops/cop9/en/ECOCOP9En08.pdf	10
U.S. Arctic Research Commission, <i>Climate Change, Permafrost, and Impacts on Civil Infrastructure</i> (2003), http://www.arctic.gov/publications.htm	19

U.S. Arctic Research Commission, <i>The Arctic Ocean and Climate Change: A Scenario For The U.S. Navy</i> (2002) http://www.arctic.gov/publications.htm	19
U.S. Department of Interior, <i>Outer Continental Shelf Oil & Gas Leasing Program: 2002–2007 Final Environmental Impact Statement</i> (2002).	15
U.S. General Accounting Office, <i>Alaska Native Villages: Most Are Affected by Flooding and Erosion, But Few Qualify for Federal Assistance</i> (2003).	24
U.S. Geological Service, <i>Sea Level and Climate</i> , http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/fs2-00/	15
U.S. Geological Service, <i>Status and Trends of the Nation's Biological Resources, Part 2 (Alaska)</i> , http://biology.usgs.gov/s+t/SNT/noframe/ak177.htm	21
Verrengia, Joseph B., <i>In Alaska, an Ancestral Island Home Falls Victim to Global Warming</i> , Associated Press, Sept. 10, 2002.....	22, 23, 25
Watt-Cloutier, Sheila et al., <i>Responding to Global Climate Change: The Perspective of the Inuit Circumpolar Conference on the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment</i> , http://www.inuitcircumpolar.com/index.php?ID=267&Lang=En	9, 11
Weller, Don, <i>Effects of Climate Change on Subsistence Communities in Alaska, Assessing the Consequences of Climate Change for Alaska and the Bering Sea Region</i> , University of Alaska Proceedings (1999).	17

Summary of Argument

By failing to heed the scientific consensus that climate change is occurring at an unprecedented rate, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has ignored its mission to protect human health from environmental pollutants. No one feels the impacts of the federal government's inaction more than Alaska Natives, whose lives, traditions, and cultures are in jeopardy.

Nowhere are the effects of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions more severe than in the Arctic, where the rate of warming is twice that of the rest of the world. Alaska is on the front lines of climate change: polar sea ice is disappearing, weather patterns are changing, permafrost is melting, wildlife populations are declining, and coasts are eroding. At stake is the physical and cultural survival of Alaska's oldest inhabitants.

EPA has clearly established authority to regulate greenhouse gases. Despite EPA's claim to the contrary, the plain meaning of the Clean Air Act, as well as its legislative history, mandate that the agency regulate air pollutants that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. While regulating greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles would be only the first step toward addressing potentially catastrophic climate change, it is necessary if the unique traditions and communities of Alaska's Native peoples are to survive this century.

I. Introduction.

“We are experiencing things in one lifetime that should take five or six generations. . . . We are making do with less [subsistence food] and trying to make the most of it.” — Ronald Brower Sr., speaking on behalf of the Inuit Circumpolar Conference (ICC).¹

Alaska Natives comprise eleven distinct cultures. These cultures are generally organized into five groupings that draw upon cultural similarities or geographic proximity: the Athabascan of the Interior and eastern Alaska, the Yup’ik and Cup’ik of western Alaska, the Inupiaq and St. Lawrence Island Yupik of the northern and northwestern Arctic, the Aleut and Alutiiq of Southcentral Alaska and Aleutian Islands, and the Eyak, Tlingit, Haida, and Tsimshian of Alaska’s southeastern archipelago.²

Alaska Natives are politically organized into 228 federally recognized tribes.³ The Federal Government has a “unique legal relationship” with tribal governments that includes recognizing Tribes as sovereign governments.⁴

¹ Margaret Bauman, *Conference Attendees Receive an Account of Arctic Warming*, Peninsula Clarion, at A2 (Nov. 30, 2005). The ICC is an international organization representing about 145,000 Inuit living in Arctic regions of Alaska, Canada, Greenland and Chukotka, Russia. *Id.*

² See Alaska Native Heritage Center website at <http://www.alaskanative.net/2.asp> (last visited August 26, 2006).

³ 70 Fed. Reg. 71194-01 (Nov. 25, 2005). The Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act, Pub. L. No. 103-454, 108 Stat. 4791 (1994), requires the Secretary of the Interior to keep a list of federally recognized tribes. 25 U.S.C. § 479a-1(a).

⁴ See, e.g., Exec. Order No. 13175 § 3(a), 65 Fed. Reg. 67249 (Nov. 6, 2000) (“Agencies shall respect Indian tribal self-government and sovereignty, honor tribal treaty and other rights, and strive to meet the responsibilities that arise from the unique legal relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribal governments.”); *Executive Mem. on Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments* § 9 (April 29, 2004) (directing Federal agencies to operate “within a government-to-government relationship with federally

This unique relationship requires EPA and other federal agencies to “ensure meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.”⁵ It requires EPA to give special consideration to Tribal interests in making agency policy and to insure the close involvement of Tribal Governments in making decisions and managing environmental programs affecting Tribal lands.⁶

The plain language of the Clean Air Act gives EPA authority to regulate emissions of greenhouse gases – primarily carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide, and fluorocarbons. Section 202(a)(1) and other provisions in the Clean Air Act require EPA to regulate air pollutants that endanger public health or welfare. 42 U.S.C. § 7521(a)(1) (EPA shall regulate air pollutants from motor vehicles that “cause, or contribute to, air pollution which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare”). The air pollutants subject to regulation under section 202 are defined as “any air pollution agent or combination of such agents, including any physical, chemical, biological, radioactive . . . substance or matter which is emitted into or otherwise enters the ambient air.” Clean Air Act § 302(g), 42 U.S.C. § 7602(g).

[W]elfare includes, but is not limited to, effects on soils, water, crops, vegetation, manmade materials, animals, wildlife, weather, visibility, and climate, damage to and deterioration of property, and hazards to transportation, as well as effects on economic

recognized Native American tribes”), available at <https://www.denix.osd.mil/denix/Public/Native/Outreach/Memos/execmemo.html>.

⁵ Exec. Order No. 13175, *supra* note 4.

⁶ Mem. of William Ruckelshaus, EPA Administrator, *EPA Policy for the Administration of Environmental Programs on Indian Reservations* (Nov. 8, 1984).

values and on personal comfort and well-being, whether caused by transformation, conversion, or combination with other air pollutants.”

Clean Air Act § 302(h), 42 U.S.C. § 7602(h).

The greenhouse gases emitted by automobiles already are endangering the health and welfare of Alaska Natives in a manner that should trigger regulations under the Clean Air Act. These emissions are affecting soils, water, vegetation, animals, wildlife, weather, climate; damaging and deteriorating property; creating transportation hazards; and affecting economic values, and personal comfort and well-being. Air and water temperatures are climbing, sea ice is disappearing, weather patterns are less predictable, permafrost is melting, vegetation cover is changing, wildlife populations are threatened and declining, roads and villages are crumbling, and key subsistence species are no longer found in traditional hunting areas during the expected seasons.

II. Emissions of Greenhouse Gases from Human Activities are Changing the Earth’s Climate at an Unprecedented Rate.

The world’s climate scientists have reached an overwhelming consensus that greenhouse gases accumulating in the atmosphere as a result of human activities are causing global average temperatures to rise. They also agree that this warming effect is greatest in the polar regions.

International scientific consensus indicates that most of the atmospheric warming over the past 50 years is attributable to human activities, primarily the burning of fossil fuels.⁷ Emissions from these activities increase

⁷ Hassol, Susan Joy, Arctic Council, *Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment 2* (2004) (hereinafter “ACIA report”).

concentrations of CO₂, methane, and other heat-trapping gases in the atmosphere.⁸ Since the start of the industrial revolution, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration has increased by about 35 percent.⁹

In 2001, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) released a definitive report on climate change. The *Third Assessment Report*, which incorporated the findings of hundreds of scientists from around the world, was reviewed and approved by more than 100 governments.¹⁰ The report concluded that the increase in temperature in the 20th century was likely the largest of any century in the past 1,000 years, and is very likely to be without precedent in many millennia.¹¹ Last year, 2005, was the warmest year in the last 100 years.¹²

Moreover, the likely rate of future warming is much larger than the observed changes in the 20th century.¹³ In part, this is because much of the CO₂ emitted by human activities is dissolved into the oceans, where it remains for

⁸ ACIA report, at 2.

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, Contribution of Working Group I, Summary for Policymakers 2, J.T. Houghton et al., eds., Cambridge Univ. Press (2001). The IPCC report contains (i) up-to-date descriptions of the climate system and related factors, (ii) based on the knowledge of the international expert communities, (iii) produced by an open and peer-reviewed professional process, and (iv) based upon scientific publications whose findings are summarized in terms useful to decision makers. IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, Technical Summary of the Working Group I Report 2 (hereinafter “Technical Summary”).

¹¹ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 10, Summary for Policymakers 2, 13.

¹² James Hansen et al., *Global Temperature Trends: 2005 Summation*, National Aeronautics and Space Agency (2005), at <http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/2005/>.

¹³ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 10, Summary for Policymakers 13.

several hundred years.¹⁴ Currently, the ocean and the land together are absorbing about half of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions.¹⁵ The total amount of carbon in the oceans is about 50 times greater than in the atmosphere.¹⁶

However, the capacity of the oceans to absorb anthropogenic CO₂ is decreasing as CO₂ levels increase.¹⁷ Warming reduces uptake of CO₂ by the ocean, reduces the transport of excess carbon to the deep ocean, and drives the ocean to release CO₂ to the atmosphere.¹⁸

The net effect will be more CO₂ in the atmosphere and an enhanced warming.¹⁹ As a result, even after CO₂ concentrations have been stabilized, global mean temperature will increase for hundreds of years.²⁰ Further, many greenhouse gases reside in the atmosphere for centuries after being emitted, thereby causing a long-term warming effect.²¹

In the absence of reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases, there is a 90 percent probability that the Earth will warm between 1.4 degrees and 5.8 degrees Celsius (about 2.5 degrees to 10.4 degrees Fahrenheit) by the year 2100.²²

¹⁴ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 10, at 197.

¹⁵ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 10, Summary for Policymakers 7.

¹⁶ *Id.* at 197.

¹⁷ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 7, at 199.

¹⁸ *Id.* at 186, 197.

¹⁹ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 10, at 224.

²⁰ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 10, Technical Summary 76.

²¹ *Id.* at 24.

²² IPCC, *Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report* 69. The Synthesis Report represents the formally agreed statement of the IPCC concerning

The end result will be more frequent heat waves, droughts, extreme precipitation events and related impacts, such as wildfires, heat stress, vegetation changes, and sea level rise.²³

Even if the increase in atmospheric temperature is limited to conservative scientific estimates (three degrees Fahrenheit), it would constitute a change unparalleled in recent millennia.²⁴

The last time it was three degrees warmer [Fahrenheit] than now was 100,000 years ago. Then, Central Europe had a climate like Africa's. And just three degrees separate today from the other climatic extreme, the last ice age of 10,000 years ago. Then, half of Europe lay under ice, and the sea level was 390 feet lower than it is today. A bitter north wind nipped at the ears of the polar bears living atop the frozen Baltic . . . Since the end of the last ice age, average global temperatures have never fluctuated by more than one degree.²⁵

The climate change prediction is even more dire in the Arctic. As the IPCC report stated, the Arctic is extremely vulnerable to climate change, and major physical, ecological, and economic impacts are expected to appear rapidly.²⁶

key findings and uncertainties contained in the Working Group contributions to the *Third Assessment Report*, *supra* note 10.

²³ Thomas R. Karl & Kevin E. Trenberth, *Modern Global Climate Change*, 302 *Science* 1719, 1721 (2003).

²⁴ William C.G. Burns, *From the Harpoon to the Heat: Climate Change and the International Whaling Commission in the 21st Century* 9 (Pacific Studies in Development, Environment, and Security 2000).

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, *supra* note 7, at 60.

A variety of feedback mechanisms will cause an amplified response, with consequent impacts on other systems and people. There will be different species compositions on land and sea, poleward shifts in species assemblages, and severe disruptions for communities of people who lead traditional lifestyles. In developed areas of the Arctic and where the permafrost is ice rich, special attention will be required to mitigate the detrimental impacts of thawing, such as severe damage to buildings and transport infrastructure.²⁷

In 2004, the Arctic Council commissioned a report that consolidated the scientific research on climate change trends, causes, and effects.²⁸ Nearly 300 scientists collaborated on the report, the *Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*, which also included traditional knowledge from Arctic indigenous communities.²⁹ Among its key findings were that global temperature is rising at an unprecedented rate and the worst is still to come.

The annual average temperature in the Arctic has increased at almost twice the rate as that in the rest of the

²⁷ *Id.* at 60. A feedback occurs when the result of a process affects its origin thereby intensifying (positive feedback) or reducing (negative feedback) the original effect. *Id.* at 91.

²⁸ ACIA report, *supra* note 7. The Council is a high-level, intergovernmental forum that addresses the common concerns and challenges faced by the people and governments of eight Arctic nations: Canada, Denmark/Greenland/Faroe Islands, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Russia, Sweden, and the United States; six Indigenous Peoples' organizations – Aleut International Association, Arctic Athabaskan Council, Gwich'in Council International, Inuit Circumpolar Conference, Russian Association of Indigenous Peoples of the North, and Saami Council; and scientific observers.

²⁹ ACIA report, *supra* note 7.

world over the past few decades.³⁰ Increasing global concentrations of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases are projected to contribute to additional Arctic warming of about 4 degrees to 7 degrees Celsius (about 7 degrees to 13 degrees Fahrenheit) over the next 100 years.³¹ Scientists predict the Arctic will enter a warming period not seen in recent history.³²

The six Arctic Indigenous Peoples' organizations that are members of the Arctic Council submitted a statement to be included in the report. It was rejected as too political. The statement said, in part:

To Arctic Indigenous Peoples, climate change is a cultural issue. We have survived in a harsh environment and if, as a result of global climate change, the species of animals upon which we depend are greatly reduced in number or location or even disappear, we as peoples would also disappear.³³

³⁰ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 10.

³¹ *Id.*

³² Larry D. Hinzman et al., *Evidence and Implications of Recent Climate Change in Northern Alaska and Other Arctic Regions*, 72 *Climatic Change* 252 (2005).

³³ Sheila Watt-Cloutier et al., *Responding to Global Climate Change: The Perspective of the Inuit Circumpolar Conference on the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*, <http://www.inuitcircumpolar.com/index.php?ID=267&Lang=En> (last visited Aug. 28, 2006).

III. Climate Change Threatens the Physical and Cultural Survival of Alaska Natives.

“Time is running out for the Arctic. We need far-reaching, long-term global commitments to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases if the Arctic is to be protected and if our human rights, particularly our human rights to subsistence, are to be respected.”

— Sheila Watt-Cloutier, ICC Chair³⁴

Alaska Natives have occupied Alaska for thousands of years.³⁵ They rely upon and have a sophisticated knowledge of their natural environment. This physical and spiritual relationship is sometimes encapsulated in the term “subsistence.”

Congress defined subsistence as “the customary and traditional uses . . . of wild, renewable resources” for food, clothing, sharing, or other customary uses.³⁶ It also recognized the importance of Alaska Native subsistence by giving subsistence activities expanded protections under federal environmental and public land statutes.³⁷

Alaska Natives, relying on their traditional knowledge of the sea, ice, land, and animals, thrive in an extreme

³⁴ *Threat to North’s Cultural Survival*, 109 ECO 1 (Dec. 11, 2003).

³⁵ Norman A. Chance, *The Inupiat and Arctic Alaska: An Ethnography of Development* 17-18, Harcourt (1990).

³⁶ Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (“ANILCA”), 16 U.S.C. § 3113.

³⁷ *See, e.g.*, Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §1539(e) (exempting Alaska Natives from take provisions “if such taking is primarily for subsistence purposes”); Marine Mammal Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1371(b) (exempting Alaska Natives from the Act’s take provisions if take “is for subsistence purposes”); Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C. § 712(1) (enabling Secretary of Interior to permit Alaska Natives to take migratory birds and collect their eggs for seasonal subsistence use); ANILCA, 16 U.S.C. § 3114 (establishing subsistence preference for fish and wildlife uses on public lands).

environment.³⁸ These resources not only sustain the economic and nutritional viability of Alaska Native communities, they also provide a basis for social identity, spiritual life, and cultural survival.³⁹

Climate change threatens the very existence of Alaska Native communities.⁴⁰ The sea ice is less stable, weather patterns are unusual, vegetation cover is changing, and key subsistence species are no longer found in traditional hunting areas during the expected seasons.⁴¹

Since the 1970s, Alaska Natives have reported environmental changes outside the bounds of “normal” variability.⁴² They have reported sightings of American robins and salmon, whose normal range does not include the Arctic.⁴³ Several communities have observed changes in the health and behavior of caribou, a key subsistence species.⁴⁴ In the Pribilof Islands, villagers blame climate change and industrial contaminants for the decline of 20 species, ranging from kelp to sea lions.⁴⁵

Climate change also may be reducing salmon populations in Alaska.⁴⁶ Salmon and other fish make up 60 percent of Alaska Natives’ subsistence resources.⁴⁷ Recent declines in fish populations directly affect the dietary and economic well-being of these people. In short, climate

³⁸ See, e.g., ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 94.

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ *Id.*

⁴¹ *Id.*

⁴² Watt-Cloutier, *Responding to Global Climate Change*, *supra* note 29.

⁴³ Hinzman, *supra* note 23, at 286.

⁴⁴ *Id.*

⁴⁵ Margot Roosevelt, *Vanishing Alaska*, *Time*, Sept. 27, 2004, at 68-70.

⁴⁶ Bruce P. Finney et al., *Impacts of Climatic Change and Fishing on Pacific Salmon Abundance Over the Past 300 Years*, 290 *Science* 795, 797 (2000).

⁴⁷ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 119.

change is rearranging the environment upon which Alaska Natives depend to survive:

The weather seems less stable and predictable. From sources of indigenous knowledge across the Arctic come reports that the weather seems more variable, unfamiliar, and is behaving unexpectedly and outside the norm. Experienced hunters and elders who could predict the weather using traditional techniques are now frequently unable to do so. Storms often occur without warning. Wind direction changes suddenly. In many places it is increasingly cloudy. Storms bringing high winds and lightning occur with increasing frequency in some locations. As noted by several elders, “the weather is harder to know.” This presents problems for many activities, from hunting to drying fish, on which Indigenous Peoples depend.⁴⁸

A. Climate Change Threatens The Existence of Alaska Native Communities and Culture.

“The practice of coming out here and being on the land and hunting caribou is not only about feeding our families, because it is all we have to survive from. We don't have Safeways and Wal-Marts and stuff like that in our tribes. But it's also about maintaining our culture and our spiritual relationship with these animals that we've had for time immemorial.” — Evon Peter, Arctic Village, Alaska⁴⁹

⁴⁸ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 96.

⁴⁹ Evon Peter, *The People and the Caribou Are One, Voices from the Earth*, Spring 2005. <http://www.sric.org/voices/2005/v6n1/caribou.html> (last visited Aug. 27, 2006).

The continuation of the opportunity for rural residents in Alaska to engage in subsistence activities is essential to Native physical, economic, traditional, and cultural existence.⁵⁰ The situation in Alaska is unique because, in most cases, no practical alternative exists to replace food supplies and other items gathered from the fish and wildlife that supply rural residents dependent on subsistence activities.⁵¹

“[Fifty] percent of the food for three-quarters of the Native families in Alaska's small and medium villages is acquired through subsistence uses, and 40 percent of such families spend an average of six to seven months of the year in subsistence activities.”⁵²

1. Thinning and Receding Sea Ice Reduces Subsistence Resources on Arctic Coasts

“It looks like winter out there, but if you've really been around a long time like me, it's not winter. . . . If you travel that ice, it's not the ice that we traveled 40 years ago.” — Orville Huntington, Alaska Native Science Commission.⁵³

In the Arctic, sea ice is one of the most important factors influencing climate.⁵⁴ Changes in sea ice have enormous environmental, economic, and societal implications.⁵⁵ The

⁵⁰ ANILCA § 801(1), 16 U.S.C. § 3111.

⁵¹ ANILCA § 801(2), 16 U.S.C. § 3111.

⁵² *Native Village of Quinhagak v. Lujan*, 35 F.3d 388, 389-90 (9th Cir. 1994) (citing H.R. Rep. No. 95-1045 at 181, (1978)).

⁵³ Yereth Rosen, *Warming Climate Disrupts Alaska Natives' Lives*, Reuters, April 16, 2004, <http://www.cnr.vt.edu/lsg/intro/global%20warming%20-%20alaska.pdf> (last visited Aug. 27, 2006).

⁵⁴ *Id.*

⁵⁵ *Id.*

Arctic is rapidly losing its permanent sea ice.⁵⁶ Within a century, the Arctic Ocean may have ice-free summers.⁵⁷

The Arctic also exerts control over the global climate.⁵⁸ Much as a spillway in a dam controls the level of a reservoir, polar regions control the Earth's heat balance.⁵⁹ As the Arctic sea ice melts and shrinks, it reflects less sunlight and the dark, open ocean absorbs more heat, eventually warming the entire planet.⁶⁰

As a result of the melting of sea ice, and a corresponding diminishing of snow cover on land, the far northern regions of North America, including Alaska, are exceeding global mean warming by about 40 percent.⁶¹ This is due to a natural phenomenon known as poleward amplification: as the ice cover decreases, the oceans and land absorb more of the sun's energy, creating a positive feedback that in turn significantly increases warming in high latitudes.⁶²

Scientists also warn that the melting of the polar ice sheets could have dire consequences.⁶³ If the current pace of melting continues, the seas will rise 10 or more meters, flooding areas inhabited by 25 percent of the U.S.

⁵⁶ J.T. Overpeck et al., *Arctic System on Trajectory to New, Seasonally Ice-Free State*, 86 EOS 312 (2005).

⁵⁷ *Id.* at 309.

⁵⁸ Matthew Sturm et al., *Meltdown in the North*, Scientific American 62 (2003)

⁵⁹ *Id.*

⁶⁰ *Id.*

⁶¹ IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, supra note 7, Summary for Policymakers 13.

⁶² See IPCC, *Third Assessment Report: The Scientific Basis*, supra note 7, Technical Summary 50.

⁶³ Jonathan M. Gregory et al., *Threatened Loss of the Greenland Ice Sheet*, 428 Nature 616 (2004).

population.⁶⁴ The Gulf and East Coast states will experience the brunt of the impacts.⁶⁵

In the Arctic, this melting likely will have devastating consequences for polar bears, ice-dependent seals, walrus, and the Alaska Natives for whom these animals are a primary food source.⁶⁶ Sea ice supports an important food web of fish, seabirds, and marine mammals.⁶⁷ Phytoplankton blooms at the ice edge feed prolific arctic cod, which in turn feed beluga whales, narwhal whales, and harp seals.⁶⁸ Polar bears, walrus, and ringed seals use the ice for transportation and as a “floating platform for resting, feeding, and producing their young.”⁶⁹

As the sea ice melts, seal and walrus populations will decline.⁷⁰ Ice dependent seals, including the ringed seal, ribbon seal, and bearded seal, are particularly vulnerable because they give birth and nurse their pups on the ice.⁷¹ They also forage near the ice edge, an extremely productive area especially sensitive to climate change.⁷²

⁶⁴ U.S. Geological Service, *Sea Level and Climate*, at <http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/fs2-00/>.

⁶⁵ *Id.*

⁶⁶ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 8.

⁶⁷ U.S. Dept. of Interior, *Outer Continental Shelf Oil & Gas Leasing Program: 2002–2007 Final Environmental Impact Statement 4-7* (2002).

⁶⁸ Nat’l Res. Council, Nat’l Academy of Sciences, *Cumulative Environmental Effects of Oil & Gas Activities on Alaska’s North Slope* 92 (2003).

⁶⁹ Margie A. Gibson & Sallie B. Schullinger, *Answers from the Ice Edge*, 8 (Arctic Network & Greenpeace U.S.A. 1998), <http://archive.greenpeace.org/climate/arctic/reports/testimonies.pdf> (last visited Aug. 29, 2006).

⁷⁰ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 59.

⁷¹ *Id.*

⁷² *Id.*

Female walrus with calves use seasonal sea-ice as a platform from which to dive to the bottom while feeding.⁷³ These animals are not normally observed in deep water because of the absence of food in those areas and their inability to dive to depths greater than 200 meters.⁷⁴ Walrus calves are dependent on maternal care for two or more years before they are able to forage for themselves, so the presence of seasonal sea-ice appears to be critical to their survival.⁷⁵ As the sea ice disappears, adult walrus may be forced to abandon their calves to search for food.

In the summer of 2004, researchers in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas observed nine abandoned walrus calves.⁷⁶ These observations coincided with a rapid melting of sea ice in that area.⁷⁷ It is likely that other walrus calves have been abandoned, and presumably many have drowned.⁷⁸

If, as a result of environmental changes in the Arctic, sea-ice continues to decline in thickness and extent, or if as the researchers observed, seasonal sea-ice retreat occurs rapidly with the onset of summer, it is possible that female walrus will have difficulty nourishing themselves and caring for their young.⁷⁹ Separations of walrus may become more common and widespread. Since walrus have a low reproduction rate and a high investment in nurturing young, with single calves born only every two to three years, the Pacific walrus populations may soon decline.⁸⁰

⁷³ Lee W. Cooper et al., *Rapid Seasonal Sea-Ice Retreat in the Arctic Could Be Affecting Pacific Walrus Recruitment*, 32 *Aquatic Mammals* 98 (April 2006).

⁷⁴ *Id.*

⁷⁵ *Id.*

⁷⁶ *Id.*

⁷⁷ *Id.* at 100.

⁷⁸ *Id.* at 98.

⁷⁹ *Id.* at 101.

⁸⁰ *Id.*

The same is true for the polar bear. In September 2004, researchers with the U.S. Minerals Management Service observed an unusual number of bears swimming offshore in the Beaufort Sea.⁸¹ Following an abrupt windstorm, the researchers saw four dead bears floating far offshore in the same area.⁸² This is the first reporting of drowned polar bears, and it is likely that many other bears have drowned.⁸³

Arctic Inupiat communities depend on the sea for food, clothing, and other necessities, and they have traditionally harvested whales, walrus, seals, and other marine mammals. As large-scale warming has reduced the extent of ice upon which seals and walrus rest between searches for food, these key marine mammals become weakened and less productive, providing less sustenance for Native communities.⁸⁴

The Inupiat and Yupik of Alaska's Arctic regions know and rely on this sea ice environment, traveling on the ice extensively in search of walrus, bowhead whales, and seals.⁸⁵ Caleb Pungowiyi of Nome recounted the importance of stable ice conditions:

Ice is a supporter of life. It brings the sea animals from the North into our area and in the fall it also becomes an extension of our land. When it freezes along the shore, we go out on the ice to fish, to hunt marine

⁸¹ Charles Monett et al., *Potential Effects of Diminished Sea Ice on Open-Water Swimming, Mortality, and Distribution of Polar Bears During Fall in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea*, Minerals Mgmt. Serv., <http://www.mms.gov/alaska/ess/Poster%20Presentations/MarineMammalConference-Dec2005.pdf> (last visited August 29, 2006).

⁸² *Id.*

⁸³ *Id.*

⁸⁴ Nancy G. Maynard, *Final Report: Native Peoples-Native Homelands Climate Change Workshop* 62 (1998) (citations omitted).

⁸⁵ Don Weller, *Effects of Climate Change on Subsistence Communities in Alaska, Assessing the Consequences of Climate Change for Alaska and the Bering Sea Region*, *Univ. of Alaska Proc.* 66 (1999).

mammals and to travel. . . . When it starts disintegrating and disappearing faster it affects our lives dramatically.⁸⁶

Many Arctic communities depend on hunting polar bear, walrus, seals, whales, seabirds, and other marine animals for their sustenance.⁸⁷ They now hunt at their own peril. The thinning and retreating sea ice makes it dangerous to hunt walruses, seals, and whales.⁸⁸ In 1998, a group of Native whalers had to be rescued after the ice floe they were on broke up and drifted out to sea.⁸⁹

The thinning and receding of sea ice also is decimating subsistence resources:

Gathering food directly from the land and the sea makes the Yupik very careful observers of their surroundings. In recent years, they have noticed that the walrus are thinner, their blubber less nutritious and oil from walrus fat does not burn as bright in their lamps as in times of old. At the same time, they have noticed that there are fewer and weaker seals. The Yupik hunters have had to go farther and farther from shore to reach the ice pack to find the newborn seals that are being fed fish from nearby waters by their parents.⁹⁰

⁸⁶ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 24.

⁸⁷ *Id.* at 61.

⁸⁸ Jim Motavalli, *Feeling the Heat: Dispatches from the Frontlines of Climate Change* 108, Routledge (2004).

⁸⁹ *Id.*

⁹⁰ Nat'l Assessment Synthesis Team, U.S. Global Change Res. Program, *Climate Change Impacts on the United States: The Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change* 366, (2000) (citing D.A. Rothrock *et al.*, *Thinning of the Arctic Sea-Ice Cover*, 26 *Geophysical Research Letters* 3469 (1999)).

2. Melting Permafrost Diminishes Subsistence Resources

Permafrost is subsurface material that remains continuously frozen for at least two consecutive years.⁹¹ The permafrost regions occupy approximately 24 percent of the Northern Hemisphere's terrestrial surface.⁹² As the climate differentially warms in summer and winter, the active layer (the soil layer above permafrost that annually freezes and thaws) will thicken.⁹³

Thickening of the active layer has two immediate effects.⁹⁴ First, decomposed plant material frozen in the upper permafrost thaws, exposing the carbon to microbial decomposition, which can release CO₂ and methane to the atmosphere.⁹⁵ These greenhouse gas releases may be considerable.⁹⁶

Second, the ice in the upper permafrost is converted to water.⁹⁷ When ice-rich permafrost thaws, the surface

⁹¹ U.S. Arctic Research Comm'n, *Climate Change, Permafrost, and Impacts on Civil Infrastructure* 3 (2003), <http://www.arctic.gov/publications.htm>.

⁹² *Id.* at 5.

⁹³ U.S. Arctic Research Comm'n, *The Arctic Ocean and Climate Change: A Scenario For The U.S. Navy* 10 (2002) <http://www.arctic.gov/publications.htm>.

⁹⁴ U.S. Arctic Research Comm'n, *Climate Change, Permafrost, and Impacts on Civil Infrastructure*, *supra* note 74, at 7.

⁹⁵ *Id.* at 8. (Because considerable quantities of carbon are sequestered in the upper layers of permafrost, a widespread increase in the thickness of the thawed layer could lead to the release of large quantities of CO₂ and CH₄ to the atmosphere. This in turn would create a positive feedback mechanism that could amplify regional and global warming) (citations omitted).

⁹⁶ David M. Lawrence and Andrew G. Slater, *A Projection of Severe Near-Surface Permafrost Degradation During the 21st Century*, 32 *Geophysical Research Letters* L24401 (2005).

⁹⁷ *Id.*

subsides.⁹⁸ Typically, this settling does not occur uniformly over space, but produces a chaotic surface with small hills and wet depressions known as thermokarst terrain.⁹⁹ When thermokarst occurs beneath a road, house, pipeline, or airfield, it can compromise their structural integrity and lead to collapse.¹⁰⁰ With regional warming, large areas may subside and areas near the coast may be inundated by encroaching seas.¹⁰¹

Climate change will likely trigger a new episode of widespread thermokarst, with serious consequences for most of the engineered works constructed in the Arctic during the twentieth century.¹⁰² Already, melting permafrost has created underground voids that collapse into sinkholes. In northern areas, such as the city of Fairbanks, the melting has toppled spruce trees, and rippled pavement and bike trails abound.¹⁰³ Homes and buildings will sag into ruin.¹⁰⁴

Elsewhere, entire forests appear to be sinking or drowning as melting permafrost forces water upward.¹⁰⁵ Alaskans call the phenomenon “drunken trees.”¹⁰⁶

⁹⁸ *Id.*

⁹⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰⁰ *Id.*

¹⁰¹ *Id.*

¹⁰² *Id.* at 13-14.

¹⁰³ Doug O’Hara, *Permafrost is Warming*, Anchorage Daily News, Aug. 14, 2005, at A1.

¹⁰⁴ *Id.*

¹⁰⁵ Timothy Egan, *Alaska, No Longer So Frigid, Starts to Crack, Burn and Sag*, N.Y. Times, June 16, 2002, §1 at 1.

¹⁰⁶ *Id.*

3. Warming Threatens Caribou, a Key Food Source

“Sometimes when they’re supposed to show up, they don’t show up. Sometimes they show up when they’re not supposed to show up. . . . We’ve got 15 villages in Northeast Alaska and North Yukon Territory, and some in Northwest Territory, where the same people are depending on one caribou herd. We’re caribou people . . . and we all depend on that same herd that migrates through our villages.” — Sarah James, Arctic Village, Alaska¹⁰⁷

Native peoples in more than 25 villages — more than 5,000 households — in northwestern Alaska depend on the caribou for subsistence.¹⁰⁸ Caribou are prominent in Alaska Native mythology, spirituality and cultural identity.¹⁰⁹

Anthropogenic warming is projected to reduce the traditional forage for caribou herds, which in turn could lead to reduction in herd size.¹¹⁰ The health of a caribou herd depends on the availability of abundant tundra vegetation and good foraging conditions, especially during the calving season.¹¹¹ Vegetation changes caused by climate change, along with rising sea levels, are projected to shrink the tundra area to its lowest extent in the past 21,000 years, greatly reducing breeding areas for many birds and grazing areas for land animals.¹¹²

¹⁰⁷ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 73.

¹⁰⁸ U.S. Geological Service, *Status and Trends of the Nation’s Biological Resources*, Part 2 (Alaska), <http://biology.usgs.gov/s+t/SNT/noframe/ak177.htm> (last visited Aug. 29, 2006) (hereinafter “USGS report”).

¹⁰⁹ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 71.

¹¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹¹ *Id.* at 70.

¹¹² *Id.* at 46.

Freeze-thaw cycles and freezing rain are also projected to increase.¹¹³ Ice crust formation from freeze-thaw events affects most Arctic land animals by encapsulating their food plants in ice, severely limiting forage availability and sometimes killing the plants.¹¹⁴ This freeze-thaw effect has caused caribou populations to crash significantly and frequently.¹¹⁵ As the caribou herds face increasing trouble, communities that rely on them for subsistence are forced to reduce their harvest to ensure the sustainability of the herds.

B. Climate Change Is Endangering the Health and Safety of Alaska Natives

"The storms are getting more frequent, the winds are getting stronger, the water is getting higher and it's noticeable to everyone in town. If we get 12 to 14 foot waves, this place is going to get wiped out in a matter of hours. We're in panic mode because of how much ground we're losing. If our airport gets flooded out, there goes our evacuation by plane." — Robert Iyatunguk, erosion coordinator for Shishmaref¹¹⁶

The effects of climate change have created health, safety, and security problems for Alaska Native communities. For example, the thinning and receding sea ice make subsistence hunts more dangerous. People are forced to travel farther across rough, open seas to reach the ice where the animals are found. These trips are more dangerous and costly.¹¹⁷ When they reach the ice, it is unreliable and pieces often break off and float away in the midst of a hunt. In 2002, more than 100 stranded hunters

¹¹³ *Id.* at 70.

¹¹⁴ *Id.* at 68.

¹¹⁵ *Id.* at 69.

¹¹⁶ *Id.* at 80.

¹¹⁷ Joseph B. Verrengia, *In Alaska, an Ancestral Island Home Falls Victim to Global Warming*, Associated Press (Sept. 10, 2002).

had to be rescued when the ice on which they were hunting drifted far from shore.¹¹⁸

Melting permafrost also threatens the security of Arctic communities. Permafrost stabilizes the ground, buttressing shorelines against fierce Arctic storms.¹¹⁹ As the permafrost warms and thaws, that buffer dissolves and shorelines erode.¹²⁰ This effect is compounded by the retreat of the sea ice, which no longer provides a calming influence on the often rough seas.¹²¹

In Shishmaref, for example, retreating sea ice and thawing permafrost have exposed the village to erosion from Arctic storms, which are fiercer and more frequent than in the past.¹²² In this village, which sits on a narrow barrier island on the Chukchi Sea, several homes have fallen over a bluff and others teeter on its edge. The 600 village residents have watched one end of their village being eaten away, losing as much as 15 meters of land overnight in one storm.¹²³ In the past 30 years, 100 to 300 feet of coastline has washed away, half of it since 1997.¹²⁴

The absence of sea ice also deprives Shishmaref residents of their route of travel to the mainland to hunt moose and caribou as they normally would do by early November.¹²⁵ Now, that waterway is ice free in autumn.¹²⁶

¹¹⁸ *Id.*

¹¹⁹ Orson P. Smith, & George Levasseur, *Impacts of Climate Change on Transportation Infrastructure in Alaska, The Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Transportation, Part II, Regional Case Studies 6* (U.S. Dep't of Transportation 2001).

¹²⁰ *Id.* at 5-6.

¹²¹ *Id.* at 7; ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 80.

¹²² ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 80.

¹²³ *Id.*

¹²⁴ Roosevelt, *supra* note 45.

¹²⁵ ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 80.

¹²⁶ *Id.*

Shishmaref is not an anomaly. At Point Hope, a bowhead-whaling village on the northwest coast of Alaska that dates from 600 B.C., flooding seawater threatens the airport runway and a seven-mile evacuation road.¹²⁷ “During storms, some people begin to panic,” town official Rex Rock told *Time*.¹²⁸

An investigation by the General Accounting Office, an investigative arm of Congress, found that 184 out of 213 (86.4 percent) Alaska Native villages experience some level of flooding and erosion.¹²⁹ The GAO report stated that:

Native villages on the coast or along rivers are subject to both annual and episodic flooding and erosion. Various studies and reports indicate that coastal villages in Alaska are becoming more susceptible to flooding and erosion in part because rising temperatures cause protective shore ice to form later in the year, leaving the villages vulnerable to fall storms. . . . In addition, villages in low-lying areas along riverbanks or in river deltas are susceptible to flooding and erosion caused by ice jams, snow and glacial melts, rising sea levels, and heavy rainfall.¹³⁰

The cost of relocating Shishmaref and other villages will be high.¹³¹ Shishmaref residents voted to leave the community their families have inhabited for the past 4,000

¹²⁷ Roosevelt, *supra* note 40.

¹²⁸ *Id.*

¹²⁹ U.S. General Accounting Office, *Alaska Native Villages: Most Are Affected by Flooding and Erosion, But Few Qualify for Federal Assistance* 2-3 (December 2003) (hereinafter “GAO report”).

¹³⁰ *Id.* at 3.

¹³¹ *Id.*

years and move to a site twelve miles away.¹³² Unfortunately, the villages lack the many millions of dollars such moves would cost.¹³³ For now, Shishmaref and three other Alaska Native villages the Federal Government has found to be in “imminent danger” will remain where they are, exposed to the consequences of climate change.¹³⁴

IV. Conclusion.

The effects of climate change already occurring in Alaska are among the most dramatic on Earth, even though the vast majority of the world’s greenhouse gas emissions originate elsewhere. This dramatic destruction in Alaska is an early warning for the rest of the world of the devastation that will likely occur if industrialized nations and the industries that drive them fail to significantly curb their emissions of greenhouse gases. The longer the Federal Government delays regulation of greenhouse gases, the more severe and long lasting the effects of climate change are certain to be. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles is a critical first step toward ensuring the survival of Alaska’s Native communities.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should reverse the decision of the Court of Appeals.

¹³² ACIA report, *supra* note 7, at 80; Roosevelt, *supra* note 45.

¹³³ Verrengia, *supra* note 117, at 5.

¹³⁴ Roosevelt, *supra* note 45; GAO report, *supra* note 129, at 4.

Respectfully submitted,

Frances M. Raskin (D.C. Bar. No. 480874)
TRUSTEES FOR ALASKA
1026 West 4th Avenue, Suite 201
Anchorage, AK 99501
(907) 276-4244

*Counsel for Amici Curiae Alaska Inter-Tribal Council,
Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments, and
Resisting Environmental Destruction of Indigenous
Lands*

August 31, 2006